arXiv:1608.03262v1 [nlin.SI] 10 Aug 2016

A family of integrable evolution equations of third order

M. Babela and A. Odesskii

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Brock University
1812 Sir Isaac Brock Way, St. Catharines, ON, L2S 3A1 Canada

e-mail:
aodesski@brocku.ca

Abstract

We construct a family of integrable equations of the form $v_t = f(v, v_x, v_{xx}, v_{xxx})$ such that f is a transcendental function in v, v_x, v_{xx}. This family is related to the Krichever-Novikov equation by a differential substitution. Our construction of integrable equations and the corresponding differential substitutions involves geometry of a family of genus two curves and their Jacobians.

MSC: 35Q58, 37K05, 37K10, 37K25.

Keywords: Integrable Equations, Differential substitutions, Krichever-Novikov equation.

Contents

1 Introduction **3**

2 A family of integrable equations **4**

3 Proofs **6**

References **8**

1 Introduction

We address the problem of classification of integrable equations of the form

$$v_t = f(v, v_x, v_{xx}, v_{xxx}) \tag{1.1}$$

where $v = v(x,t)$ and indexes stand for partial derivatives. Using the so-called symmetry approach (see [1, 2] and references therein), it was proven [3] that any integrable equation (1.1) must depend on v_{xxx} in one of the following ways:

$$v_t = f_1 v_{xxx} + f_2 \tag{1.2}$$

$$v_t = \frac{1}{(f_1 v_{xxx} + f_2)^2} + f_3 \tag{1.3}$$

$$v_t = \frac{2f_1 v_{xxx} + f_2}{\sqrt{f_1 v_{xxx}^2 + f_2 v_{xxx} + f_3}} + f_4 \tag{1.4}$$

where f_i are functions in v, v_x, v_{xx} and $f_2^2 - 4f_1 f_3 \neq 0$ in (1.4). In particular, f must be rational or algebraic function in v_{xxx}. Integrable equations of the form (1.2) were classified in [2]. It was shown that any such equation has a form

$$v_t = \frac{v_{xxx} + g_1 v_{xx}^3 + g_2 v_{xx}^2 + g_3 v_{xx} + g_4}{(g_5 v_{xx}^2 + g_6 v_{xx} + g_7)^{3/2}} + g_8 \tag{1.5}$$

where $g_1, ..., g_8$ are functions in v, v_x. Thus, in any equation of this class, f is an algebraic function in both variables $v_{xx},\ v_{xxx}$. Moreover, this is the case for all known examples of integrable equations (1.1). Equations of the form (1.3), (1.4) have not been classified yet but in the paper [4] all equations of the form (1.4) with $f_1 = 0$ were listed, and in all these equations f is an algebraic function in v_{xx}.

One may believe that for any integrable equation (1.1) the r.h.s. must be an algebraic function in both variables $v_{xx},\ v_{xxx}$. We will show, however, that this is not correct. In this paper we construct a family of equations from the class (1.3) such that f is not algebraic in v_{xx}. These equations have a form (1.1) with

$$f(v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3) = -\frac{1}{2(1 + G_{v_0} v_1 + G_{v_1} v_2 + G_{v_2} v_3)^2 G_{v_2}} + R(v_0) v_1$$

where $G = G(v_0, v_1, v_2)$ is a transcendental function in v_0, v_1, v_2.

Recall that equations of the form (1.1) are said to be equivalent if they are connected by an invertible point or contact transformation of the form

$$\bar{t} = t, \qquad \bar{x} = x + \lambda(v, v_x), \quad \bar{v} = \mu(v, v_x) \tag{1.6}$$

where functions $\lambda(v_0, v_1)$ and $\mu(v_0, v_1)$ satisfy the relation

$$(1 + v_1\lambda_v)\mu_{v_1} = v_1\mu_v\lambda_{v_1} \tag{1.7}$$

Any such transformation does not change the forms of (1.2) - (1.5). In particular, transformation (1.6) leads to fractional linear transformation of the variable v_{xx} of the form $v_{xx} \to \frac{Av_{xx}+B}{Cv_{xx}+D}$ where $AD - BC \neq 0$ and A, B, C, D are certain functions in v, v_x. Therefore, if the r.h.s. of (1.1) is algebraic in v_{xx}, it will stay algebraic after arbitrary transformation (1.6).

There exists, however, a more sophisticated and highly nontrivial way of relations between integrable equations: the so-called differential substitutions (see [5, 6, 7, 2]). In this paper we obtain our family of integrable equations via differential substitutions of the form

$$\bar{t} = a^3 t, \quad y = ax + aG(v, v_x, v_{xx}), \quad u = Q(v, v_x, v_{xx})$$

into the so-called Krichever-Novikov equation [8]

$$u_{\bar{t}} = u_{yyy} - \frac{3}{2}\frac{u_{yy}^2}{u_y} + \frac{c_1 + c_2u + c_3u^2 + c_4u^3 + c_5u^4}{u_y}.$$

Notice, that the functions G, Q are both transcendental and must satisfy a complicated system of nonlinear PDEs (3.18)-(3.21). We believe that we have found a general solution to this system up to transformations (1.6), and assuming that G is not a fractional linear function in v_{xx} (probably some other degenerate cases should be excluded), but we don't prove this here.

In the next section we describe our construction of a family of integrable equations and differential substitution related it to the Krichever-Novikov equation. Proofs will be outlined in the last section.

Some aspects of our construction can be interpreted in terms of the geometry of elliptic and genus two algebraic curves (see Remarks 3, 4 in the next section). Roughly speaking, v_0 can be regarded as a function on the moduli space of genus two curves and v_1, v_2, G can be regarded as functions on Jacobians of these curves.

Much work is still needed to classify integrable equations of the form (1.3), (1.4). We hope that this paper sheds some light on the nature of their r.h.s. as functions of v, v_x, v_{xx}.

2 A family of integrable equations

Let us fix constants $c_1, ..., c_5, h, b \in \mathbb{C}$. Define a function $Y(t, v_0)$ by

$$Y(t, v_0) = \sqrt{(v_0 - h)(t - v_0)(t - h)(c_1 + c_2t + c_3t^2 + c_4t^3 + c_5t^4)} \tag{2.8}$$

We will often omit the second argument and write $Y(t)$ for $Y(t, v_0)$.

Define also functions $P_1 = P_1(v_0, v_1, v_2),\ P_2 = P_2(v_0, v_1, v_2)$ implicitly by

$$\begin{gathered}\int\limits_b^{P_1} \frac{(t-h)dt}{Y(t)} + \int\limits_b^{P_2} \frac{(t-h)dt}{Y(t)} = -\frac{2(v_0-h)}{v_1},\\ \int\limits_b^{P_1} \frac{(t-h)dt}{(t-v_0)Y(t)} + \int\limits_b^{P_2} \frac{(t-h)dt}{(t-v_0)Y(t)} = \frac{4(v_0-h)v_2}{v_1^3} - \frac{6}{v_1}\end{gathered} \tag{2.9}$$

Proposition 1. The equation $v_t = F(v, v_x, v_{xx}, v_{xxx})$ is integrable if

$$F(v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3) = -\frac{1}{2(1 + G_{v_0}v_1 + G_{v_1}v_2 + G_{v_2}v_3)^2 G_{v_2}} + R(v_0)v_1 \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$\begin{gathered}G(v_0, v_1, v_2) = \frac{2(v_0-h)}{v_1} + \int\limits_b^{P_1} \frac{(v_0-h)dt}{Y(t)} + \int\limits_b^{P_2} \frac{(v_0-h)dt}{Y(t)},\\ R(v_0) = \tfrac{1}{8}(c_2 + 2c_3h + 3c_4h^2 + 4c_5h^3) + \frac{c_1+c_2h+c_3h^2+c_4h^3+c_5h^4}{2(v_0-h)}\end{gathered} \tag{2.11}$$

Remark 1. The constant b in (2.9), (2.11) is not essential. Changing of b will lead to addition of certain functions in v_0 to the l.h.s. of (2.9) and to the r.h.s. of (2.11) which can be absorbed by a point transformation of the form

$$\begin{gathered}x \to x + q(v),\\ v \to v\end{gathered} \tag{2.12}$$

Remark 2. The point transformation $v \to \frac{\alpha v+\beta}{\gamma v+\delta}$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are constants, does not change the form of our equation. These transformations can be used to simplify our equation. For example, if the coefficients of the polynomial $c_1 + c_2t + c_3t^2 + c_4t^3 + c_5t^4$ are generic, we can put this polynomial to canonical form $4t^3 - g_2t - g_3$.

Proposition 2. The equation

$$v_t = F(v, v_x, v_{xx}, v_{xxx}) \tag{2.13}$$

defined by (2.10), (2.11) is connected with the Krichever-Novikov equation

$$u_{\bar{t}} = u_{yyy} - \frac{3}{2}\frac{u_{yy}^2}{u_y} + \frac{c_1 + c_2u + c_3u^2 + c_4u^3 + c_5u^4}{u_y} \tag{2.14}$$

by differential substitution

$$\begin{gathered}\bar{t} = a^3 t,\\ y = ax + aG(v, v_x, v_{xx}),\\ u = Q(v, v_x, v_{xx})\end{gathered} \tag{2.15}$$

where $a = \frac{\sqrt[4]{3}}{2\sqrt[4]{2}}\sqrt[4]{c_1 + c_2 h + c_3 h^2 + c_4 h^3 + c_5 h^4}$, G is defined by (2.11) and the function Q is defined by

$$2\int\limits_b^Q \frac{dt}{Z(t)} = \int\limits_b^{P_1} \frac{dt}{Z(t)} + \int\limits_b^{P_2} \frac{dt}{Z(t)} \tag{2.16}$$

with $Z(t) = \sqrt{c_1 + c_2 t + c_3 t^2 + c_4 t^3 + c_5 t^4}$. Recall that functions P_1, P_2 are defined by (2.9).

Remark 3. Consider a family of genus two curves

$$C = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2;\ y^2 = (v_0 - h)(x - v_0)(x - h)(c_1 + c_2 x + c_3 x^2 + c_4 x^3 + c_5 x^4)\}.$$

Recall that $\frac{dx}{y}$, $\frac{xdx}{y}$ are two holomorphic differentials on C and $\frac{(x-h)dx}{(x-v_0)y}$ is a meromorphic differential on C. Therefore, P_1, P_2 can be regarded as points of the curve C. Moreover, equations (2.9), (2.11) for P_1, P_2 and G can be regarded as a Jacobi inversion problem on the Jacobian of the curve C.

Remark 4. Consider a family of elliptic curves

$$E = \{(x, z) \in \mathbb{C}^2;\ z^2 = c_1 + c_2 x + c_3 x^2 + c_4 x^3 + c_5 x^4\}.$$

Equation (2.16) can be written in terms of the addition law on E as $2[Q] = [P_1] + [P_2]$, where $[Q]$ (resp. $[P_1]$, $[P_2]$) is a point on E with $x = Q$ (resp. $x = P_1$, $x = P_2$). It is known that (2.16) is equivalent to an algebraic relation between Q, P_1, P_2. Explicitly, we have

$$\begin{gathered}\frac{c_2^2+4(c_2c_3-2c_1c_4)Q+2(2c_3^2-8c_1c_5-c_2c_4)Q^2+4(c_3c_4-2c_2c_5)Q^3+c_4^2Q^4}{c_1+c_2Q+c_3Q^2+c_4Q^3+c_5Q^4} =\\ = 4\frac{2c_1+c_2P_1+c_2P_2+c_3P_1^2+c_3P_2^2+c_4P_1^2P_2+c_4P_1P_2^2+2c_5P_1^2P_2^2-2Z(P_1)Z(P_2)}{(P_1-P_2)^2}\end{gathered} \tag{2.17}$$

Remark 5. Equations (2.9), (2.11) can be written in terms of multi-dimensional ζ and $\wp$-functions associated with the curve C (see [9] and references therein). We have extensively used results and formulas from [9] in our intermediate computations.

3 Proofs

It is known that if an equation (1.1) is connected with an integrable equation by a differential substitution, then the equation (1.1) is also integrable [6]. It is also known that the Krichever-Novikov equation is integrable [8]. Therefore, Proposition 1 follows from Proposition 2. Let us prove Proposition 2.

After substitution of (2.15) into the Krichever-Novikov equation (2.14) and by virtue of (2.13) we obtain a large expression[1] in $v_0, ..., v_5$ which is equal to zero if and only if the equation (2.13), with F given by (2.10), is related with the Krichever-Novikov equation (2.14) by (2.15). Moreover, this expression is a polynomial in v_3, v_4, v_5. Splitting by v_3, v_4, v_4 one can check that this polynomial is equal to zero if and only if the following equations hold:

$$v_1(Q_{v_0}G_{v_2} - Q_{v_2}G_{v_0}) + v_2(Q_{v_1}G_{v_2} - Q_{v_2}G_{v_1}) - Q_{v_2} = 0 \tag{3.18}$$

$$2Q_{v_2}G_{v_2}(Q_{v_1v_2}G_{v_2} - G_{v_1v_2}Q_{v_2}) + G_{v_2v_2}Q_{v_2}(Q_{v_2}G_{v_1} + Q_{v_1}G_{v_2}) - 2Q_{v_2v_2}Q_{v_1}G_{v_2}^2 = 0 \tag{3.19}$$

$$\begin{gathered}\tfrac{3}{16}G_{v_2}^6(c_1 + c_2h + c_3h^2 + c_4h^3 + c_5h^4)(c_1 + c_2Q + c_3Q^2 + c_4Q^3 + c_5Q^4) - \\ -2Q_{v_2}^2G_{v_2}^4R(v_0) - 2v_1^2Q_{v_2}G_{v_2}^4(Q_{v_1}G_{v_2} - Q_{v_2}G_{v_1})\tfrac{dR(v_0)}{dv_0} + \\ +2Q_{v_2v_2v_2}Q_{v_2}G_{v_2}^2 - 2G_{v_2v_2v_2}Q_{v_2}^2G_{v_2} + 3G_{v_2v_2}^2Q_{v_2}^2 - 3Q_{v_2v_2}^2G_{v_2}^2 = 0\end{gathered} \tag{3.20}$$

$$\begin{gathered}\tfrac{3Q_{v_2}^2G_{v_2}^2}{Q_{v_1}G_{v_2} - Q_{v_2}G_{v_1}} = 3v_2Q_{v_2}G_{v_2}^2 + v_1Q_{v_2}G_{v_2v_2} + v_1G_{v_2}(3Q_{v_1}G_{v_2} - Q_{v_2}G_{v_1}) + \\ +v_1v_2Q_{v_2}(G_{v_2v_2}G_{v_1} - G_{v_1v_2}G_{v_2}) + v_1^2Q_{v_2}(G_{v_2v_2}G_{v_0} - G_{v_0v_2}G_{v_2})\end{gathered} \tag{3.21}$$

On the other hand, differentiating the relations (2.11), (2.9) by v_0, v_1, v_2 one gets the following system of PDEs for G, P_1, P_2:

$$\begin{gathered}G_{v_2} = \tfrac{4(v_0-h)^2(P_1-v_0)(P_2-v_0)}{v_1^3(P_1-h)(P_2-h)}, \\ G_{v_1} = \tfrac{2(v_0-h)(2P_1P_2-(3v_0-h)(P_1+P_2)+4v_0^2-2hv_0)}{v_1^2(P_1-h)(P_2-h)} - \tfrac{12v_2(v_0-h)^2(P_1-v_0)(P_2-v_0)}{v_1^4(P_1-h)(P_2-h)} \\ P_{1,v_2} = -\tfrac{4(v_0-h)(P_1-v_0)(P_2-v_0)Y(P_1)}{v_1^3(P_1-P_2)(P_1-h)},\ P_{2,v_2} = \tfrac{4(v_0-h)(P_1-v_0)(P_2-v_0)Y(P_2)}{v_1^3(P_1-P_2)(P_2-h)}, \\ P_{1,v_1} = \tfrac{2(6v_2(v_0-h)(P_2-v_0)-v_1^2(3P_2-4v_0+h))(P_1-v_0)Y(P_1)}{v_1^4(P_1-P_2)(P_1-h)}, \\ P_{2,v_1} = -\tfrac{2(6v_2(v_0-h)(P_1-v_0)-v_1^2(3P_1-4v_0+h))(P_2-v_0)Y(P_2)}{v_1^4(P_1-P_2)(P_2-h)}, \\ P_{1,v_0} = -\tfrac{Y(P_1)}{v_1^3(v_0-h)(P_1-P_2)}(v_1^3(P_2-h)G_{v_0} + v_1^2(P_2-h) - 2v_2(v_0-h)(P_2-v_0)), \\ P_{2,v_0} = \tfrac{Y(P_2)}{v_1^3(v_0-h)(P_1-P_2)}(v_1^3(P_1-h)G_{v_0} + v_1^2(P_1-h) - 2v_2(v_0-h)(P_1-v_0)).\end{gathered} \tag{3.22}$$

Equation (2.16) shows that

[1]We use notations $v_0 = v, v_i = v_{x^i}$.

$$Q = S(P_1, P_2) \tag{3.23}$$

where

$$S_{P_1} = \frac{Z(S)}{2Z(P_1)}, \quad S_{P_2} = \frac{Z(S)}{2Z(P_2)}. \tag{3.24}$$

Recall that $Z(t) = \sqrt{c_1 + c_2 t + c_3 t^2 + c_4 t^3 + c_5 t^4}$.

Now let us outline computations needed to prove relations (3.18) - (3.21). To prove (3.18) we start by substituting (3.23) for Q. After that we check that (3.18) becomes an identity by virtue of (3.22). To prove (3.19) we proceed with the same steps but in the end we also need to substitute (3.24). Relations (3.20), (3.21) can be proven in the same way but for (3.20) we need algebraic relation (2.17) as well.

References

[1] Fokas A S, A symmetry approach to exactly solvable evolution equations, J. Math. Phys. **21** (1980), 1318-1325.

[2] Mikhailov A V, Shabat A B and Sokolov V V, The symmetry approach to classification of integrable equations, in What is Integrability?, Editor: Zakharov V E, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990, 115-184.

[3] Ibragimov N Kh and Shabat A B, On infinite Lie-Bäcklund algebras, Funct. Anal. Appl. **14** (1980), 79-80.

[4] Hernández Heredero R, Classification of fully nonlinear integrable evolution equations of third order, J. of Nonlin. Math. Phys., Vol. 12, **4** (2005), 567-585.

[5] Sokolov V V, On the symmetries of evolution equations, Russ. Math. Surv. **43** (1988), 165-204.

[6] Sokolov V V and Shabat A B, Classification of integrable equations, Sov. Sci. Rev., Sect. C **4**, Harwood Academic Publishers, 1984, 221-280.

[7] Svinolupov S I and Sokolov V V, Factorization of evolution equations, Russ. Math. Surv., **47** (1992), 127-162.

[8] Krichever I M and Novikov S P, Holomorphic Bundles over Algebraic Curves, and Nonlinear Equations, Russ. Math. Surv., **35** (1980), 53-80.

[9] Buchstaber V M, Enolski V Z, Leykin D V, Multi-dimensional sigma-functions, arXiv: 1208.0990 [math-ph].